

Aging & Rehabilitation

An Interdisciplinary Research Seminar Series



Sponsors

Department of Veteran Affairs

- Rehabilitation Outcomes Research Center (RORC)
- Brain Rehabilitation Outcomes Research Center (BRRC)
- Geriatric Research, Education, and Clinical Center (GRECC)

UF College of Medicine

- Institute on Aging
- Department of Aging and Geriatric Research

UF College of Public Health and Health Professions

- **Brooks Center for Rehabilitation Studies**

UF College of Liberal Arts and Sciences

- Center for Gerontological Studies

UF McKnight Brain Institute

UF College of Nursing

Schedule

- January 9th, 2006 – May 22nd, 2006
- Mondays, 12:00 – 1:00
- Location: UF HPNP Building, Room G101
- Cyber Seminar:
 - VA RORC Conference Room, Commerce Building Downtown
 - VA BRRC Nursing Home Care Unit Conference Room (first floor)
 - UF Brooks Center Conference Room, Jacksonville (904) 306-8977

Themes

- Basic Science
- Clinical Science
- Outcomes / Health Policy
- Behavioral and Social Research
- Cutting Edge / New Research

The Relative Role of Social and Economic Factors on Utilization of Mental Health Services for Late Life Depression

Jeffrey Harman

Department of Health
Services Research,
Management and Policy

Late Life Depression

- Depression is common among older Americans
 - ~15-20% suffer from clinically significant depressive symptoms
- Depression severely impacts seniors
 - Physical, mental, social functioning, QOL
 - Health care utilization and costs
 - Leads to increased physical decline and mortality

Late Life Depression and Medical Illness

- Depression frequently co-occurs with chronic medical illnesses such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular conditions
 - Results in amplification of symptoms
 - Poor rates of adherence to treatment regimens
 - Poor outcomes of medical conditions
 - Higher mortality rates

Treatment for Late Life Depression

- Effective treatment for depression in late life exist
 - Antidepressant medication is effective and newer SSRIs and SNRIs minimize side effects
 - Psychotherapy also effective for less severe forms of depression
 - Combination of medication and psychotherapy shown to be the most effective
- Treating depression improves outcomes and reduces costs associated with other conditions

Under-Treatment of Late Life Depression

- Although effective treatment exists, woefully under-utilized
- Over half of older persons with depression do not have their condition recognized and diagnosed
- For those diagnosed, about $\frac{1}{4}$ receive no treatment
- Only $\frac{1}{3}$ of older persons with recognized depression receive adequate treatment

Barriers to depression care

- Most older persons seek treatment in primary care settings
- Primary care providers much less likely to provide adequate depression treatment than MH specialists
 - Possible competing demands problem
 - But primary care providers getting better at treating depression
 - No longer difference in rates of offering treatment by age

Barriers to Depression Care

- Interventions have been developed to address provider/system barriers to care
 - IMPACT, PROSPECT, etc.
- Still considerable barriers on individual patient level
 - Economic
 - Social

Economic Barriers

- High out-of-pocket costs associated with depression
 - Greater than hypertension, arthritis
 - Similar to diabetes, heart disease
- Seniors often have limited income
- Cut back or skip medication due to costs
 - More likely to skip antidepressants than other meds

Social Barriers

- Attitudes towards depression
 - Perceived stigma
 - Sign of weakness
 - Natural part of aging
- Attitudes towards treatment
 - Worried about side effects
 - Can just make yourself “snap out of it”
 - Prayer can resolve treatment
- Social Support
 - Lack of social support can reduce help seeking for depression

Previous studies

- Although many studies have addressed barriers to care for late life depression, most have limitations
 - Data sources are often limited
 - Claims data, surveys not designed for mental health services research
- Not aware of any studies that used good measures of utilization, economic factors, AND social factors
 - Identify what type of factors serve as the major barrier to receiving depression treatment

Study Goals

- Construct a survey specifically designed to address mental health services utilization by older persons
- Use data from survey to determine whether social factors or economic factors are primary drivers of mental health service utilization in a depressed elderly cohort
- Funded through NIMH Career Development Award

Study Sample

- Medicare managed care plan in Tampa area agreed to provide access to enrollees and their health care claims
- Plan had ~35,000 enrollees in Tampa area
- Randomly selected 5,000 enrollees
- Enrollees screened for depression
- Administer in-person surveys to enrollees who screen positive for depression

Depression Screening

- Depression screens administered via phone
 - Used the PHQ-9 → score of 10+
- 5,000 randomly selected enrollees first sent letters to inform them of the study and given opportunity to opt out of the study
- Calls made from December 2003 – May 2004
- Only 772 were successfully contacted by phone and agreed to take the depression screen (no incentives offered to take screen)

Telephone Screens

- Very few returned postcards to opt out (N~200)
- ~30% could not be contacted (wrong number, never answered, etc.)
- ~20% contacted but refused to take screen
- ~5% physically, mentally, or language unable
- Response rate nearly doubled after removing “depression” from study introduction

Completed Depression Screens

- 772 completed PHQ-9
- 90 screened positive for depression (11.7%)
- 68 of 90 agreed to take in-person interview (76% response rate of eligible plan enrollees)
- All who agreed to take survey completed the survey

In-Person Surveys

- Surveys took place at respondent's preferred location
 - Usually in their home or at a restaurant
- Took approximately 60 minutes to complete
- Respondents were paid \$25 for completed survey

In-Person Surveys

- Surveys administered using CAPI methods (interviewer used laptop computer)
- Informed consent to administer survey and access respondents' health care claims data was obtained
- Surveys administered from April – December 2004

Survey Measures

- Demographics
 - Age
 - Gender
 - Race
 - Marital status
 - Education
 - Income
 - Household size
 - Relationship of individuals in household

Survey Measures

- Cognition screener
 - Short Blessed Test
- General health status
 - Excellent, very good, good, fair, poor
- Functional status
 - ADL and IADL from OARS Multidimensional Functional Assessment Questionnaire
- Medical comorbidities
 - “Has a doctor ever told you that you had...”
 - Assessed for 13 different conditions

Survey Measures

- Psychiatric comorbidities
 - “Has a doctor ever told you that you had...”
 - Assessed for 9 psychiatric conditions
- PHQ-9 (depression screen)
- Health behaviors
 - History of alcoholism, current alcohol consumption, smoking
- Help seeking behavior
 - Questions taken from the PRISMe study
 - Do you worry about health, avoid the doctor, keep illness to yourself, etc.

Survey Measures

- Medication adherence
 - Asked if there were prescriptions that he/she did not fill in the past year
- Attitudes towards depression as illness
 - Questions taken from PRISMe study
- Attitudes towards depression treatment
 - From RWJ IHI Breakthrough Series on Depression
- Perceived stigma
 - Mental Health and Alcohol Abuse Stigma Assessment (PRISMe)

Survey Measures

- Health benefit structure
 - Copayment amounts, prescription drug coverage, coverage for preventative services, etc.
- Access to mental health care
 - Difficulties obtaining referrals, waiting times, transportation, etc.
 - Taken from the MCBS
- Financial burden
 - Perceived financial burden (e.g. can't make ends meet)
 - Ever make choices between medical care and other necessities

Survey Measures

- Satisfaction with care and health plan
- Social support
 - Objective (from MCBS)
 - Perceived (MOS Social Support Survey)
- Religiosity
 - Objective, Intrinsic
 - Duke University Religion Index

Survey and Claims Data

- Claims/encounter data was obtained for 2004 for survey respondents
- Claims data included pharmacy, inpatient, and outpatient claims
- Counts of service utilization were created
 - Inpatient episodes
 - Outpatient visits
 - Antidepressant prescriptions filled
- Claims and Survey data were then merged

Goal of Analysis

- Assess whether social factors or economic factors are the most influential predictors of depressed Medicare managed care enrollees receiving depression treatment
- Only presenting preliminary analyses using subset of measured social and economic factors on probability of receiving antidepressant medication or any depression treatment

Measure for Economic Factor Used

- Perceived financial burden
 - “Thinking about your financial situation, would you say...”
 - Can't make ends meet
 - Have just enough to get along
 - Are comfortable

Measures of Social Factors Used

- Perceived social support from MOS Social Support Index
 - Measured as a continuous scale where higher values mean more social support
- Religiosity measures from Duke University Religion Index
 - Included both subscales
 - Objective religiosity
 - Intrinsic religiosity

Dependent Variables

- Examined two different measures of treatment
- Antidepressant treatment
 - Indicator for at least one filled prescription for an antidepressant during the year
- Any depression treatment
 - Indicator for either filled antidepressant medication, visit to a mental health specialist, or visit to a primary care provider with a primary diagnosis of depression

Demographic (Control) Variables

- Age
- Gender
- Marital status (married vs. not married)
- Race/Ethnicity
 - Measured as minority (African American or Latino) or not minority

Hypotheses

- Enrollees with high financial burden will be less likely to receive antidepressant and less likely to receive any depression treatment
- Enrollees with more social support will be more likely to receive antidepressants and more likely to receive any depression treatment
- Enrollees with high levels of objective and intrinsic religiosity will be less likely to receive antidepressants and less likely to receive any depression treatment
- Social factors will play more of a role than economic factors

Statistical Analysis

- Performed logistic regression
- Estimated four models for each dependent variable to assess change in pseudo R^2 from addition of measures
 - Only demographic variables
 - Only demographic and economic factor measure
 - Only demographic and social factor measures
 - All demographic, economic, and social factors

Characteristics of Respondents (N=68)

| | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|
| PHQ-9 | 12.3 | 5.71 | 0 | 27 |
| Age | 76.0 | 6.53 | 65 | 90 |
| Female | 64.7% | - | - | - |
| Married | 38.2% | - | - | - |
| Minority | 11.8% | - | - | - |

Characteristics of Respondents

| | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|------------------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|
| Financial Burden | 14.7% | 35.7 | - | - |
| Social Support | 3.6 | 1.0 | 1 | 5 |
| DRI-OBJ | 6.2 | 2.9 | 0 | 10 |
| DRI-INT | 8.6 | 3.4 | 0 | 12 |

Antidepressant Treatment Results

| | R ² of Model | % Change in R ² |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Demographics | .195 | - |
| Economic Factor | .220 | 12.8% |
| Social Factors | .196 | 0.5% |
| All Measures | .234 | 20.0% |

Antidepressant Treatment Results

| | Odds Ratio | 95% CI | P-value |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Age | 0.82 | 0.70-0.96 | .013 |
| Female | 1.20 | 0.26-5.45 | .817 |
| Married | 2.11 | 0.46-9.68 | .338 |
| Minority | 0.51 | 0.05-5.58 | .578 |
| Financial Burden (poor) | 0.15 | 0.01-1.80 | .135 |
| Social Support | 0.60 | 0.29-1.24 | .168 |
| Religiosity (objective) | 0.95 | 0.70-1.29 | .745 |
| Religiosity (intrinsic) | 1.15 | 0.88-1.50 | .300 |

Any Depression Treatment Results

| | R ² of Model | % Change in R ² |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Demographics | .116 | - |
| Economic Factor | .183 | 57.8% |
| Social Factors | .121 | 4.3% |
| All Measures | .204 | 75.9% |

Antidepressant Treatment Results

| | Odds Ratio | 95% CI | P-value |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Age | 0.90 | 0.81-1.00 | .041 |
| Female | 2.01 | 0.49-8.23 | .333 |
| Married | 2.11 | 0.52-8.60 | .298 |
| Minority | 0.60 | 0.09-3.89 | .595 |
| Financial Burden (poor) | 0.07 | 0.01-0.75 | .028 |
| Social Support | 0.57 | 0.29-1.12 | .102 |
| Religiosity (objective) | 0.98 | 0.74-1.29 | .863 |
| Religiosity (intrinsic) | 1.04 | 0.83-1.31 | .723 |

Conclusions

- Really, really hard to get people to take a depression screen over the phone
- Need a huge effect size to detect significant differences when $N=68$
- Perceived financial burden appears to play more of a role on help seeking for depression than social support or religiosity

Conclusions

- Social support works in opposite direction than hypothesized
 - More social support → less likely to seek/get treatment for depression
- Religiosity does not appear to play a significant role in help seeking for depression

Limitations

- Only includes enrollees of a single Medicare HMO in Tampa area
- Sample size very small
 - Unable to detect significant differences unless the effect size is huge
- Utilization measures only includes those services/drugs paid for by the health plan

Future Research

- Look at role of other factors on utilization
 - Stigma, Income, help seeking behavior, etc.
- Larger survey effort to increase sample size
 - Survey conducted as part of NIMH career development award (K01 MH63780)
 - Limited budget to conduct survey research
- Although not safe to make conclusions based on this research (do it anyway)
 - Interventions aimed at individuals might want to first focus on minimizing economic barriers to care